

REMARKS

Claims 48-51, 56-62, and 103-111 are pending. Claims 48-51, 58, and 62 are amended and claims 1-47, 52-55, and 63-102 are cancelled. Claims 48, 104, and 109 are independent.

I. Interview

Examiner Paul P. Tran and the undersigned conducted a telephone interview on April 21, 2010. Possible claim amendments relating to limiting channel data access, user interface features, touch screen features, and storing updated channel data were discussed with respect to moving this application forward in lieu of the appeal. While agreement was not reached, the Examiner suggested refocusing the claimed subject matter to more expressly recite features relating to storage and access restriction of channel data or with respect to allowing a device to be customized (i.e., via subscriptions) to provide channels that are the most useful or otherwise selected by the user.

II. Claim Amendments and New Claims

Applicant has amended claim 48 to more clearly recite a method that involves subscription to one or more channels where the method uses a mobile unit that has channel application memory for one or more channel applications that provide information for visually presenting the one or more subscribed-to channels and a feed store for channel data used to populate information for the one or more channel applications, wherein said channel data is stored in channel-specific memory addresses of the feed store, wherein said channel data associated with at least one of the one or more subscribed-to channels is replaced in the feed store when updated channel data arrives to replace it, and wherein access to at least some channel data in the feed store is restricted to ones of said one or more subscribed-to channels associated therewith. Exemplary support for this subject matter is found in paragraphs [0014], [0023]-[0025], [0043], and [0057]. Claim 48 was further amended to more clearly recite features relating to navigational mechanisms. Exemplary support for this subject matter is found in paragraph [0014]. Dependent claims 48-51, 58, and 62 are amended to improve clarity and scope and to be consistent with the amendments to claim 48. New claim 103 is added to depend from 60 and is supported by similar subject matter previously recited in claim 48, prior to the present amendments. No new matter has been added.

Applicant has added new claim 104 to recite claims to mobile devices providing features similar to, though not identical to, features of the mobile unit recited in claim 48 as amended. Exemplary support for this subject matter is found in paragraphs [0014], [0023]-[0025], [0043], and [0057]. Dependent claims 105-108 recite subject matter similar to subject matter of claims 51, 60-62, and 102. No new matter has been added.

Applicant has also added new claim 109 to recite mobile device that comprises a phone (paragraph [0028]), an operating system (paragraph [0023]); memory with channel applications and channel data (paragraphs [0014], [0023]-[0025], [0043], and [0057]); a touch screen user interface and navigation features (paragraphs [0035]-[0036], and [0014]), system channels, including a channel listing channel, having restricted access and other channels (paragraphs [0014], [0023]-[0025], [0043], and [0057]). New claims 110 and 111 recite additional features relating to updates and access. Exemplary support is found in paragraph [0025]. No new matter has been added.

Applicant notes that the claims as amended and newly presented are broader in certain respects than previously presented claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all previously considered references with this in mind.

III. Claim Rejections

The November 13, 2009 Office Action rejects claims 48-51 and 56-62 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton et al. (US Pat. 6,725,022 B1, hereinafter "*Clayton*") in view of Tashiro et al. (US Publication 2003/0003899, hereinafter "*Tashiro*"). Applicant submits that claim 48 recites specific features related to storing, updating, and restricting access to subscribed-to channel data and to receiving input to navigate both through a channel's content and to other channels. Neither *Clayton* nor *Tashiro* suggest the combination of features recited in claim 48 as amended. Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 48 and its dependent claims for at least this reason.

IV. New Claims

New claims 104-111 also recite features related to storing, updating, and restricting access to channel data and to receiving input to navigate. The combination of such features is not suggested by the teachings of *Clayton* and *Tashiro*. Favorable consideration of these new claims is also respectfully requested.

V. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, the pending application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Applicant submits herewith the fee in the amount of \$810 fee for the Request for Continued Examination. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee due to Deposit Account No. 11-0855. If there are any issues that can be resolved via a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned directly at 404.815.6626.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 12, 2010

/Eugene B. Joswick/

Eugene B. Joswick
Reg. No. 50,917

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
404.815.6626 (voice)
404.541.3318 (fax)